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## ICIS

Market intelligence for the energy, chemical and fertilizer industries

## ICIS Purchasing Advisory Service

Presented by James Ray

## ICIS Purchasing Advisory Service

1. ICIS is a global leader in helping purchasing professionals
2. ICIS Purchasing Advisory has saved clients over \$100 million globally
3. Purchased feedstock and/or raw materials represent the largest percentage of cost, therein lies the largest opportunity that justifies retaining professional assistance
4. Reduced costs improve your bottom line, competitive position, sales growth and the overall health of the business

## ICIS Purchasing Advisory Service

In today's markets, you don't just walk in to a negotiation and get the best price

It takes market intelligence, analytics, strategic planning and years of preparation

## Spend Classification



A one size fits all purchasing strategy will NOT work.

## ICIS

## Purchasing Vision



## Strategic Sourcing to insure the lowest cost

- I'm selling you a product:

| $5,000,000$ | Units/year |
| ---: | :--- |
| $\$ 3.00$ | Price per Unit (\$1.95 cost from your cost modeling) |
| $\$ 15,000,000$ | Revenue |
| $35 \%$ | Gross Margin |
| $\$ 5,250,000$ | $\$$ Profit |

But I want a $5 \%$ or $\$ 750,000$ increase.
You tell me that I will lose $25 \%$ of my business if I enforce such an increase AND from past experience, I have no doubt its true.

## Strategic Sourcing to insure the lowest cost

- What am I going to do now?


## 5,000,000 Units <br> $\$ 3.00$ <br> \$15,000,000 <br> 35\% <br> \$5,250,000 <br> Price per Unit <br> Revenue <br> Gross Margin <br> \$ Profit

## 3,750,000 New Volume - Units <br> \$3.15 New Price per Unit <br> \$11,812,500 New Revenue

\$4,500,000 New \$ Profit

If l'm smart, I will realize that my profit has dropped $\$ 750,000$.
Guess what?
No increase, because you have a strategic plan in place to insure the lowest cost.
But how do we get there?

## Now lets turn it around

- Looking at your pricing, we need a 5\% decrease.


## Agree

5,000,000
$\$ 2.85$
\$14,250,000
\$4,500,000
(\$750,000)

Units
Price per Unit
Revenue
\$ Profit
Profit loss

## Disagree

3,750,000 25\% Less Units $\$ 3.00$ Price per Unit
\$11,250,000 Revenue
\$3,937,500 \$ Profit
$(\$ 1,312,500) \quad \$$ Profit loss

Now we are negotiating when the decrease will be implemented with our much more cooperative supplier.

## Understanding Market Prices

Cost

- Crude Oil
- Related Feedstocks
- Labor
- Overhead
- Logistics
- Government

| Margin | Market Price |
| :--- | :--- |
| - Competition |  |
| - Supply \& Demand | - Cost |
| - Imports/Exports | - Margin |
| - Number participants |  |
| - Sentiment |  |
| - Weather |  |

Margin is the most negotiable portion and therefore the largest opportunity for savings.

## At ICIS, we understand markets in detail



Advantaged producers benefit from higher margins and have no problem selling their lower cost product

## At ICIS, we understand markets in detail

Housing Permits are a leading indicator for Housing Starts, which is a leading indicator for an increase in demand and price for PVC and other construction related products.


## Ethylene, C2=




Ethane C2


At ICIS, we understand the value chain and how it impacts your business

## Understanding market time lags

(Leading indicators)
Market Cause \& Effect Time Lag


These two lines do NOT fit well

## Understanding market time lags

Market Cause \& Effect Time Lag


Cause \& Effect lines fit well when adjusted for time lag

## What should you be paying?



Do you know when your suppliers have increased their margins on you?

You may not be able to prevent it, but if you know its happening and have a opportunity to monitor and later recover it.

POLYPROPYLENE VIA UOP OLEFLEX PROPANE DEHYDROGENATION

| Capital Investment, \$ Million |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fixed Investment | 396 |  | 350 | Thousand Tonnes annual capacity |  |  |
| Working Capital | 35 |  | 8,000 | Hours per Year basis |  |  |
| Total | 431 |  | 91\% | = Lbs-> |  | 701,974,000 |
| Raw Material Costs | Units/Tonne PP | Units | Price | Units | Costs / PP Tonne |  |
| Propane Feedstock | 1.26 | Ratio | 0.90 | Gal | \$ | 592.26 |
| Catalysts \& Chemicals, Royalties (.7\%) | 1 | Unit | 13.3 | \$/Tonne | \$ | 13.30 |
| Other | 0 | lbs. | 0 | \$/b | \$ | - |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$ | 605.56 |
| Operating Costs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Utilities: | Units/Tonne PP | Units | Price | Units | Costs / PP Tonne |  |
| Electricity | 149.00 | kWH | 0.048 | \$/kWH | \$ | 7.15 |
| Boiler Feed Water | 0.06 | Mgal | 1.300 | \$Mgal | \$ | 0.08 |
| Cooling Water | 36.20 | Mgal | 0.135 | \$/Mgal | \$ | 4.89 |
| Natural Gas | 1.19 | MM Btu | 3.301 | \$/MM Btu | \$ | 3.93 |
| Labor: (around the clock, excl Maint.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wage | 6 | Persons | 26.40 | \$/Hr | \$ | 3.979 |
| Salary | 4 | Persons | 99,400 | \$/Yr | \$ | 1.248 |
| Benefits |  |  | 50 | \% Persons | \$ | 2.614 |
| Maintenance | 3.0 | \% of Fixed Investment |  |  | \$ | 33.94 |
| Plant Overhead | 2.0 | \% of Fixed Investment |  |  | \$ | 22.63 |
| Taxes \& Insurance | 3.0 | \% of Fixed Investment |  |  | \$ | 33.94 |
| Handling \& Distribution Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | 44.08 |
| Polymerization to PP Costs |  |  | 0.123 | \$ / lb | \$ | 271.09 |
| Total Operating Costs |  |  |  |  | \$ | 429.57 |
|  |  | \% Potential Cash Cost |  |  |  |  |
| General \& Administrative | 17.0 |  |  |  | \$ | 173.93 |
| Total Cash Cost |  |  | \$ 0.55 | \$/lb | \$ | 1,209.07 |
| Revenues | Units/Tonne PP | Units | Price | Units |  | P Tonne |
| By Products |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| Hydrogen |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| C4 Mix Stream |  |  |  |  | \$ | - |
| C5 Mix Stream |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Polypropylene Market Sales |  |  | \$ 0.70 | \$/Lb | \$ | 1,542.80 |
| Total Revenues |  |  |  |  | \$ | 1,542.80 |
| EBIDTA per Lb / Tonne |  |  | \$ 0.15 | \$/lb | \$ | 333.73 |
|  |  |  | 28\% | Gross Marg |  |  |

## Product Cost Management:





Cost Adjustiment Model

| Chemical | Base Line Price / lb | Current <br> Price / lb | Index Change | Chemical Breakdown | Formula Cost Change | Raw Matl Net Chg \$ | Other Costs | Other \% of COGS | Other Costs | Total Change | Annual Spend | na |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7/1/14 | 8/1/15 | per Ib | (Recipe) | per lb - \% |  | Change |  | Net Chg \% | \% | US \$ | Impact US\$ |
| * Purified Terephthalic Acid | \$0.600 | \$0.490 | -\$0.110 | 86.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ** Mono Ethylene Glycol | \$0.680 | \$0.410 | -\$0.270 | 34.0\% | -29.3\% | \$ (0.19) | 0.00\% | 57.06\% | 0.00\% | -12.57\% | \$1,000,000 | -\$125,675 |
| Other | \$1.000 | \$1.000 | \$0.000 | 0.0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Blue Background denotes frequent user fields for data entry

## Formula pricing

|  | - | c | D | E | F | G | H |  |  |  |  | M |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total since Jan 2 |  | 839,118 | 30 | 28 |
| ${ }_{3}^{2}$ | ICIS | ICIS Index Contract Model + Adder, Trigger |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Date: | 3/22/2014 |  |
| 5 | Increase Trigger- | vel | 10.0\% |  |  | Initial Bas |  | \$0.140 |  | Adder |  | 50.120 |
| 6 | Decrease Triger | Level (-) | 3.0\% |  |  | Monthly | gg Volume | 1,000,000 |  | Formula factor |  | 1.00 |
| ${ }_{9}^{8}$ |  |  |  |  | Trigger | Mod |  |  |  | An | alytics |  |
| 10 | Month | Trigger Calculated Price | Alternate Formula Spend | Monomer Baseline | Monomer Change \% | $\begin{gathered} \text { PGP } \\ \text { Contract } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Price } \end{array}$ | Typical Spend (notrigger) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Actice } \\ \text { Praid } \end{array}$ | Model <br> Sevins | Increase Count | Decrease Count |
| 137 © | Jul 2012 | 0.640 | 640,000 | 50.520 | 0.0\% | 0.52 | 0.640 | 640,000 | 0.64 | S |  |  |
| $138 \pm$ | Aug 2012 | 0.640 | 640,000 | 50.520 | -2.9\% | 0.51 | 0.625 | 625,000 | 0.63 | \$ (15,000) |  |  |
| 139 | Sep 2012 | 0.640 | 640,000 | 50.520 | -1.0\% | 0.52 | 0.635 | 635,000 | 0.64 | \$ ( 5,000$)$ |  |  |
| 140 | Oct 2012 | 0.640 | 640,000 | 50.520 | 1.9\% | 0.53 | 0.650 | 650,000 | 0.65 | \$ 10,000 |  |  |
| 141 | Nov 2012 | 0.640 | 640,000 | 50.520 | 9.6\% | 0.57 | 0.690 | 690,000 | 0.69 | \$ 50,000 | - |  |
| 142 | Dec 2012 | 0.700 | 700,000 | 50.580 | 11.5\% | 0.58 | 0.700 | 700,000 | 0.70 |  | 1 |  |
| 143 | Jan 2013 | 0.850 | 850,000 | 50.730 | 25.9\% | 0.73 | 0.850 | 850,000 | 0.85 | \$ | 1 |  |
| 144 | Feb 2013 | 0.850 | 850,000 | 50.730 | 8.2\% | 0.79 | 0.910 | 910,000 | 0.91 | \$ 60,000 |  |  |
| 145 | Mar 2013 | 0.850 | 850,000 | 50.730 | 0.0\% | 0.73 | 0.850 | 850,000 | 0.85 | \$ | . |  |
| 146 | Apr 2013 | 0.750 | 750,000 | \$0.630 | -13.7\% | 0.63 | 0.750 | 750,000 | 0.75 |  |  | 1 |
| 147 | May 213 | 0.750 | 750,000 | 50.630 | -1.6\% | 0.62 | 0.740 | 740,000 | 0.74 | \$ (10,000) | - |  |
| 148 | Jun 2013 | 0.750 | 750,000 | S0.630 | 3.2\% | 0.65 | 0.770 | 770,000 | 0.77 | \$ 20,000 |  |  |
| 149 ฐ | Jul 2013 | 0.750 | 750,000 | S0.630 | 3.2\% | 0.65 | 0.770 | 770,000 | 0.77 | \$ 20,000 |  |  |
| 150> | Aug 213 | 0.820 | , | 50.700 | \% |  | 0 | 位 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | eling c <br> 0 year | ontrac foreca | form ast dem | mula p mons | res | over 10 y their long | ears <br> term | history m impact |  |  |



## We start by identifying opportunities



We consider - time \& resources required, probability of success and other factors that help prioritize them to deliver the most bang for the buck.

## Understanding Market Prices



A Buyers market perform differently

## Strategic sourcing to ensure the lowest cost

## Demand vs Capacity Growth Curves

20162017201820192020202120222023202420252026 Year

## Supply \& Demand Cycles

Market Cycle
Most markets go through a cycle of:

1. Tight
2. Balanced
3. Long supply

Over several years which will affect your buy/sell strategy

Right now, PP is tight and growing tighter with no new capacity until approximately 2020

PP Market

PE on the other hand is slightly long and growing longer

## Margin analysis



Lower utilization historically leads to lower margins \& adders

Higher utilization leads to higher margins and adders

Quality Supply \& Demand intelligence is a good indicator to adders and margins

## Using High and Low Risk

## Supply Risk

| Factor | Value | Comment |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Contract Price / Unit | $\$ 4.425 .00$ | Contract Price |
| Forecast Best Case Scenario | $\$$ | 500.00 | Best Case Scenario

1. Offered a long term contract at $\$ 425 /$ Tonne, should I accept it?
2. Given a forecast with a $90 \%$ confidence interval of $\$ 300$ to $\$ 500 /$ tonne,
3. The answers is NO; I should NOT accept it as I have too much downside, and limited upside. www.icis.com

## Using High and Low Risk



## Target pricing example

| Description: Plastic Gift | Product: Marketing Promotion |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Annual Volume: 150,000 units | Quotation Based On: |  |  | $A=100,000$ units |  | $B=200,000$ units |  |  | $C=300,000$ units |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| General | Quotations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Information | Supplier X |  |  | Supplier Y |  |  | Supplier Z |  |  | TARGET |
| Previous Price | \$29.50 |  |  | No Quote |  |  | No Quote |  |  | No Quote |
| Product Cost Breakdown | A | B | C | A | B | C | A | B | C | C |
| Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Density Polyethylene | 8.11 | 8.11 | 8.11 | 10.35 | 10.35 | 10.35 | 14.87 | 14.87 | 14.87 | 8.11 |
| Colorant | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.94 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 1.30 | 0.70 |
| Total Material | 9.05 | 9.05 | 9.05 | 10.85 | 10.85 | 10.85 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 16.25 | 8.81 |
| Labor Cost |  |  |  | 5.60 | 5.50 | 5.50 | 6.19 | 6.19 | 6.15 | 6.15 |
| Overhead \& Profit | 13.33 | 13.06 | 12.79 | 5.07 | 4.65 | 4.55 | 7.37 | 7.37 | 6.31 | 4.55 |
| Packaging | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.40 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.35 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Total Price (ex works) | 32.83 | 32.56 | 32.29 | 33.92 | 33.40 | 33.30 | 47.06 | 47.06 | 45.96 | 20.51 |
| Transportation | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.10 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 1.70 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| TOTAL PRICE | 33.93 | 33.66 | 33.39 | 35.62 | 35.10 | 35.00 | 47.75 | 47.75 | 46.65 | 21.20 |

I'm sure we have all see the piece price break down, but do you see anything that concerns you?

## Negotiating Strategies are organized



## Strategic Sourcing to insure the lowest cost

## Supply Risk

| Factor | Value | Comment |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Current Price / Unit | $\$ \quad 0.70$ | $\$ / \mathrm{Lb}$ |
| Probability of Failure (annually) | $100.0 \%$ | $\$ 0.02 / \mathrm{lb}$ Increase |
| Cost of Failure (annually) | $\$ 1,000,000$ | Price Increase |
| Annual Volume | $50,000,000$ | Pounds per Year |
| Prorated Failure Cost / Unit | $\$ r 0.02$ |  |

1. Negotiating price with your sole supplier is difficult
2. The only thing more difficult is negotiating with them, when they KNOW you have no alternatives.
3. A good sourcing plan plays out over 3-5 years to insure the lowest total cost.

## Strategic Sourcing to insure the lowest cost

Supplier Market Share vs. Price
(to eliminate risk)


Paying an alternate supplier more to have leverage in negotiations with the primary supplier is justified to avoid paying a single source premium.

## Projects are tracked



Projects are tracked; saving realized and verified.
This allows You to improve your competitive position in the market.

## Hurricane Impact on budgets

## ICIS Chemical Price INDEX

before/after Hurricane Rita


Prices increased 30\% after Hurricane Rita and did not return to normal until 32 months later

Lessons learned could reduce the impact, or it could be worse this time

## Hurricane Impact on budgets

Hurricane Rita vs Harvey
National Refinery Utilization \%


## US Refinery

Utilization after Harvey is at the same recovery rate as it was after Hurricane Rita.

This suggests Rita is a good model of what we might expect.

## Hurricane Impact on budgets

Hurricane Rita vs Harvey
USG Refinery Utilization \%


## The US Gulf Coast recovery further suggests that Rita is a good model of what we might expect.

## Hurricane Mitigation



## Risk Mitigation starts with quantifying the risk and where it is the greatest.

## Summary

1. ICIS has been helping Purchasing Professionals make better, more informed business decisions since the 1970's
2. The ICIS Purchasing Advisory Service continues this tradition, and takes it to the next level, by utilizing our extensive market intelligence, years of experience, and proven methods to drive improvements to your bottom line.

# For more information, contact: 

## James Ray

James.Ray@ICIS.com

Linkedln: www.linkedin.com/in/james-ray-20b3565/

